

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/584,155	OHYAMA, HIDEKI
	<b>Examiner</b> Gregg Polansky	<b>Art Unit</b> 1611
<b>All Participants:</b>  (1) <u>Gregg Polansky</u> .  (2) <u>Sunhee Lee</u> .	<b>Status of Application:</b> <u>After Final Allowance</u>	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>10 July 2008</u>	<b>Time:</b> _____	
<b>Type of Interview:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
<b>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
<b>Part I.</b>		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 3		
Prior art documents discussed:		
<b>Part II.</b>		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>		
<b>Part III.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Suggested to Ms. Lee an examiner's amendment to Claim 3. Informed Ms. Lee that Claims 2 and 3 (after examiner's amendment) are in a condition for allowance. Permission to charge \$230 to Applicants' deposit account for an extension of time, was requested. Ms. Lee indicated she would contact the Applicants on 7/14/2008 to get their consent for the amendments and additional fee. The interview was followed up on 7/14/2008 by a call from a representative for Ms. Lee, indicating the Applicants had approved the examiner's amendments and the additional fee for an extension of time.